# The Benefits of Restoration in Urbanizing Watersheds: **Developing Value Indicators and Understanding Social Barriers and Opportunities**

Marisa Mazzotta<sup>1\*</sup>, Kristen Hychka<sup>2,3</sup>, Justin Bousquin<sup>2</sup>, Caroline Druschke<sup>2,3</sup>, Walter Berry<sup>1</sup>, and Claudette Ojo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development, Atlantic Ecology Division, Narragansett, RI, USA

<sup>2</sup> ORISE participant, U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development, Atlantic Ecology Division, Narragansett, RI, USA, <sup>3</sup> University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA

#### **BACKGROUND**:

- Existing tools to compare restoration sites focus on biophysical attributes, and may be too evaluate wetland complicated for local managers.
- Our value indicators can help managers to services and benefits.

Inform restoration decisions and implementation

- Limited information exists about barriers and opportunities in restoration efforts.

- Even the best projects sometimes fail if the public is not effectively engaged in the decision process.

# **Develop Ecosystem Benefits Indicators**

### **Objective**:

• Develop a systematic approach to compiling a set of benefit indicators that is grounded in economic theory and uses readily-available data.

#### **Indicator development process; flood reduction example:**

#### **1. Functional assessment**



Where is flooding reduced?

- With existing wetlands, 7.4km<sup>2</sup> (7.5%) of the modeled\*\* area floods [
- Without existing wetlands 7.7 km<sup>2</sup> of the area would flood [ indicates additional flood areas]

#### 2. Assessment of complements



- Is existing infrastructure at risk?
- 127 houses [🚹] in flooded area

#### **3. Assessment of beneficiaries**



- How many houses are protected from flooding by existing wetlands?

### Understand Opportunities and Barriers **Objectives**:

- Identify public opportunities for and barriers to urban restoration
- Develop a framework for deliberate design of public engagement, based on desired ecological, stakeholder, and learning outcomes.

**Data Collection** Conducted face-to-face interviews with 27 local state, & federal managers

Synthesis **Reviewed interview** transcripts to identify common arguments, themes, & patterns

Outputs ncluded ecosystem benefits and disservices identified by managers in the indicators approach

Developed recommendations for managers who engage in public participation efforts



### **Data Collection**

The most common terms from interviews with 27 local, state, and regional land managers when discussing urban restoration issues.





#### 4. Assessment of demand and preferences



- How are significant floods (> 1ft) affected by wetlands?
- 19 houses [1] have flood depth reduced to <1ft

#### 5. Assessment of substitutes



- How many houses benefit from existing gray infrastructure substitutes?
  - Wetlands [**\***] <0.5km upstream from a dam [] will typically not provide additional flood protection to houses below the dam. A dam will typically not substitute flood protection for wetlands [**K**] >0.5 km upstream.

#### 6. Assessment of scarcity



Supply - What areas have greater volume of wetlands? vs. Demand - What areas would benefit 0 - 50,000 most from restoration? 50,000 - 125,000 125,000 - 250,000

Volume (m<sup>3</sup>) of wetlands in sub-basin

#### 7. Assessment of temporal reliability

250,000 - 500,000 500,000 - 750,000

How sure are we that flood reduction benefits will continue?

\*\*Model was based on rainfall and flow matching the second largest storm on record (October 2005; record dates back 75 years to 1941).

### **Synthesis**

#### **Opportunities**:

- Close-knit network of managers
- Shared history of successful restoration projects

#### **Challenges**:

- Competing versions of restoration targets
- Limited and sporadic funding for construction, monitoring, and adaptive management

#### **Public interaction:**

- Perceived lack of public knowledge about hydrology and restoration
- Perceived lack of public value for restoration or non-human benefits of projects
- Frustrating and contentious public meetings

# Outputs

#### **Ecosystem Benefits Indicators:**

- We are producing a step-by step guidebook to applying this approach.
- It will include indicator checklists, spreadsheet and mapping tools, and suggestions for data sources. **Opportunities and barriers:**
- From the interviews, we developed a list of benefits and disservices from ecological restoration.
- We summarized opportunities/barriers to urban restoration.
- We developed a framework for public engagement. **Overall:**
- We are applying marketing methods to help develop and promote methods that managers can use.

# Takeaways

#### Indicators:

 This approach allows users to evaluate and compare benefits of restoration without estimating dollar values, using readily available data.

#### **Opportunities and barriers:**

- Understanding communication styles in public participation helps managers more successfully implement projects. **Overall**:
- Considering the human element when developing and evaluating restoration efforts is critical for improved decisionmaking and successful implementation.